## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

JOSEPH CASTILLO,	<b>§</b>	
Plaintiff,	<b>%</b>	SA-22-CV-00301-FB
vs.	<b>%</b> <b>%</b>	
RAFAEL PAREDES SANCHEZ, PATRICIA OLGA VALDEZ,	9 <b>9</b> <b>8</b>	
Defendants.	<b>&amp;</b> <b>&amp;</b>	

## **ORDER**

Before the Court in the above-styled cause of action are Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court without Prepaying Fees or Costs, filed March 28, 2022 [#1]. The motion was automatically referred to the undersigned upon filing, and the undersigned has authority to enter this order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). By his motion, Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") based on an inability to afford court fees and costs. Having considered the motion and documentation provided by Plaintiff, the Court will grant the motion to proceed IFP and order service of Plaintiff's Complaint.

All parties instituting any civil action, suit, or proceeding in a district court of the United States, except an application for a writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of \$350, as well as an administrative fee. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP includes his income and asset information, which indicates that Plaintiff is unemployed and relies on disability and housing assistance for subsistence. Plaintiff's motion also lists his monthly expense in detail, demonstrating that his expenses exceed his income. The information

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The administrative fee, which is currently \$50, is waived for plaintiffs who are granted IFP status. *See District Court Miscellaneous Fee Schedule*, available at <a href="http://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/district-court-miscellaneous-fee-schedule">http://www.uscourts.gov/services-forms/fees/district-court-miscellaneous-fee-schedule</a>.

demonstrates that Plaintiff does not have sufficient monthly resources available to pay the filing fee, and the Court will grant the motion to proceed IFP.

Pursuant to the Court's October 8, 2019 Standing Order, the undersigned reviewed Plaintiff's proposed Complaint for frivolousness. The Court finds that Plaintiff's proposed Complaint [#1-2] asserts at least one non-frivolous claim. Therefore, Defendants should be served with this lawsuit.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court without Prepaying Fees or Costs [#1] is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint [#1-2] shall be filed by the Clerk without prepayment of fees, costs or the giving of security therefore, and the Clerk shall, until further Order of this Court, waive the collection of any other fees or costs from Plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if not already accomplished, within ten (10) days of the date of this Order, Plaintiff shall either:

- Submit to the Clerk's Office a fully completed United States Marshal Service
  Form 285, including fully complete addresses, for each Defendant required to be
  served and the United States Marshal's Service shall serve each defendant with a
  copy of the Complaint and a copy of this order by certified mail, return receipt
  requested; or
- Through counsel, request issuance of summons from the Clerk and serve each Defendant required to be served with a copy of the summons, complaint, and a copy of this Order by certified mail, return receipt requested, if possible. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)(1) (permitting service pursuant to state law); Tex. R. Civ. P. 106(a)(2) (permitting service by certified or registered mail by a person authorized to serve by Rule 103); Tex. R. Civ. P. 103 (permitting service by certified or registered mail by sheriff, constable, clerk, or any person authorized by law).

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 4th day of April, 2022.

ELIZABETH S. ("BETSY") CHESTNEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE